

### ***Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary***

10/070.066

EGNER-WALTER ET AL.

Gary K Graham

1744

### All Participants:

(1) Gary K Graham.

(2) *Thomas D. Helmholdt.*

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** *23 December 2003*

Time:

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 5, 6, 9 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

None

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

### Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Minor changes to claims such that concise and consistent language is used throughout the claims. In particular, in claim 2, "equal to" has been removed as such appeared confusing since a gap cannot be equal to zero. Additional changes have been made. Reference is made to the Examiner's Amendment for exact changes..